

From WORDLIST to COMPARATIVE LEXICOGRAPHY : the LEXINOTES

Tony Naden

*Ghana Institute of Linguistics,
Literacy and Bible Translation*

Published as:

Naden, Tony 1993. From wordlist to comparative lexicography: the Lexinotes. LEXIKOS 3 :
167-190

From Wordlist to Comparative Lexicography

ABSTRACT

This paper sketches the history of the study of the languages of West Africa, particularly of their lexical resources, offered as a typical example of similar developments elsewhere in the world. The collection of wordlists from the languages and their use to establish genetic relationships is considered, with some practical observations on the improvement of this methodology. The final section reports on an ongoing project for a comparative dictionary in northern Ghana, particularly the use of simple semantic network studies both within and across languages. An appendix presents one of the preliminary papers circulated in connection with this project.

KEYWORDS

LANGUAGES, LINGUISTICS, WEST AFRICA, GUR, HISTORY, EXPLORATION, COLONIALISM, COMPARISON, LEXICOLOGY, SEMANTICS, LEXICOGRAPHY, DICTIONARY, VOCABULARY, WORDLIST

ABSTRAIT

De la liste-de-mots au lexique comparatif – les lexinotes.

Cette étude présente d'abord une esquisse de l'histoire de l'étude, surtout sur le plan du lexique, des langues de l'Afrique occidentale, comme échantillon type des tels développements dans les autres régions pareilles. La fonctionnalité de la collectionnement et comparaison des listes de mots est abordé, avec des conseils pratiques pour l'usage des listes. Enfin on offre deux aspects des recherches en Ghana du nord envers un dictionnaire comparative: une appendice présente une des études préliminaires du projet.

MOTS CLEF

LANGAGES, LINGUISTIQUE, AFRIQUE OCCIDENTALE, VOLTAIQUE, HISTOIRE, COMPARATISME, LEXICOGRAPHIE, LEXICOLOGIE, SEMANTIQUE, DICTIONNAIRE, VOCABULAIRE, LISTE-DE-MOTS

From WORDLIST to COMPARATIVE LEXICOGRAPHY :
the LEXINOTES¹

Introduction

While several major civilizations in the history of the world have developed written traditions and some form of lexicography, it is post-Renaissance Western - or as one might have said a decade or so ago 'modern' - culture which has shown interest in the languages and lexicon of groups which are in some sense alien to it and accounted minor either in importance or number of speakers. The Greeks' attitude to the 'bleating' of foreigners² was largely echoed in the attitude to non-Chinese or non-Arabic tongues by these respective civilizations³. Be that as it may, in spite of the inadequacies of lexicographical resources for contemporary African (for instance) societies - as regretted in Busane, 1990, amongst other commentators - there is available in the world a considerable amount of published and archived material on the vocabulary of languages of smaller populations and those spoken in politically non-prominent areas. I want to develop here a sketch of the history lying behind these lexicographic resources, exemplifying - in a necessarily simplified, not to say simplistic, sketch - from the area with which I am most familiar, West Africa. For practical purposes the history of this region is typical of many parts of the world which were controlled or dominated by European powers in the colonial period, and now mostly constitute the 'third' or 'developing' world of 'the South'. From this base I then consider some ways forward to a more sophisticated understanding of lexical systems, both within and across language boundaries.

History : Early Fragments

In most cases, the earliest information we have on the languages of West Africa and similar regions is in the form of unsystematic notes from early European explorers, traders or missionaries. Journals and travel tales of such persons may sometimes attempt to add local colour by quoting a phrase which 'the natives' "shouted", or "kept repeating", or whatever, at the particular point in the proceedings. These are usually explained as being their 'word' for "Welcome" or some other formulaic utterance - with no guarantee, of course, that we are being given what linguistic analysis would consider a word, rather than a phrase or a sentence. As Christian missionary work necessitates some kind of communication of more complex ideas, and Christians have in general been oriented towards written texts of Scripture, liturgy, and doctrinal definition, the Church sources may have more extended texts of such materials translated into local languages. For this region a number of studies have been made of this early material by P.E.H.Hair (*e.g.* 1965, 1966, 1968). There are considerable problems because of the generally low view which the European recorders held of the African peoples and languages which they recorded, and both transcriptions and identifications are often difficult to interpret and relate to present-day languages and peoples. The whole question of the interaction of linguistic history and the history of peoples and migrations is still hazy, as explored in Naden (1988) for one region of West Africa. There is still plenty of untapped material in these early sources, particularly in archives of commercial and governmental sponsors of exploration/colonization in Europe.

History : Colonial Collections

There followed a phase of more settled and organised penetration of West Africa by Europeans - what may be roughly described as the Colonial period. The exact political situation, and the effectiveness and manner of operation of colonial régimes, protectorates, and so on, were of course, multifarious in their realisations. A particular point to note for the West Coast is that the endemic diseases which made it 'The White Man's Grave' precluded settlement by outsiders such as was found in parts of East and Southern Africa, South Asia and so on. Traders, administrators and missionaries were basically expatriates, visiting for longer or shorter tours of duty, and the long-term involvement in the area of individuals was often curtailed by ill-health or death. In this period, roughly from just before the middle of the nineteenth century to just after the middle of the twentieth, there was also the development in the Western academic world of ethnographic and linguistic studies alongside the existing fields of classical literature, history and philology. This is often seen as largely a result of the colonial experience in the broadest sense - including the expansion of the United States at the expense of Native America, with similar movements in Canada, and of Russia into northern Asia. The development of phonetics, and later of phonemic and structural syntactic analyses, moved forward under a considerable impetus from those attempting to give an account of the languages of Africa, the Americas, South and Southeast Asia, the Caucasus and Siberia. And conversely scholars looked to these areas for field studies of languages and cultures on which they could wield and refine their new analytical tools (some relevant thoughts are found in Goldsmith, 1992). "it can hardly escape even the casual observer of the current phonological scene that it stands in profound debt to work on African languages" (149)

West Africa presented some particular restrictions in this period. There was a lack of large centralized states in much of the hinterland, and unsettled conditions consequent upon the break-up of earlier states which was partly the result and partly the cause, or at least the opportunity, of the growth of influence/interference by the European powers. The power and then the decline of slave-raiding, mostly done by local agents for trade to both coastal European and Saharan Arab (Tuareg/Berber) traders, added to confusion and lawlessness - summed up in the folk-history of northern Ghana as "the days of Samori and Babatu" - two famed and feared land pirates who raided and plundered with private armies around the turn of the century (Naden, 1988). These conditions, along with the 'White Man's Grave' effect, made it difficult to even determine the names and locations of peoples and languages. Symptomatic of this situation is the fact that the most important of the early collections, Koelle's 'Polyglotta' (1854), represents data gathered without visiting the areas where the languages were spoken at all. Koelle's informants were slaves freed by the British from traders and settled in Freetown, Sierra Leone. In some cases they had not spoken their mother tongues for many years, and information on the location where the language was spoken is in the form of memories of how many days' journey the slave caravan took to reach various landmarks between the informant's place of capture and the sea-port. Furthermore, the name of the language is often buried behind many layers of foreigners' pronunciations, epithets and designations in a variety of trade languages. In the face of these problems Koelle's data seem to be remarkably good, and his work is another major quarry for information on earlier states of the languages of the area (e.g. Köhler 1964, Prost 1966, Delord 1968).

The spirit of this period placed a value on the number of languages from which samples were collected (e.g. Christaller 1889, Delafosse 1904, Groh 1911, titles). I always mentally associate this with the collections of butterflies assembled by contemporary entomologists, or lovingly-assembled patterns of diatoms on slides prepared by microscopists. However the factors which are mentioned above as deterring European settlement would also have had an effect: when a scholar was able to assemble the funding, personnel and equipment to mount an expedition to these areas which were so difficult of access, he wanted to come 'out' with as much material as possible, for who knew when the area could be studied again? Because of the uncertainty over names and locations it is necessary to consult records of explorers, such as Binger (1892), as well as those explicitly studying language and culture.

The major aim of this activity was the establishment of genetic relationships by comparison of languages. The great success story of Indo-European comparative-historical work in the nineteenth century was both an inspiration and a challenge to those working in other parts of the world. A further reason for the

collection of a large number of language samples was the establishment of an adequate data base for comparison. However it is noteworthy that the initial advances in this approach for Africa came in Bantu studies in the eastern and southern areas of the continent (all through the nineteenth century, but note particularly Meinhof 1906), partly because of the substantial group of obviously-related Bantu languages, but surely also because in the areas where there were European settlers there was the opportunity to study some major languages in greater depth. These results then fed into our area with studies like Westermann (1935).

History : Consolidation

The period roughly from the end of the first World War to the time of the independence of the West African nations from colonial rule formed a time of consolidation, though because of various economic and political problems results continue to be refined and published. It is true that 'new' languages may still be identified (*e.g.* Naden 1986.a), and new collections of data appear, as in Dakubu (1977, 1980) or the work of the late, indefatigable, Fr.Prost (from a long list, especially important here is the series of articles on 'Atakora' languages culminating in the 1975 wordlists). However, improved accessibility, education of speakers of the languages, and the cumulative results of the earlier studies, have resulted in a fairly reliable picture of the names, locations and nature of most languages in the area. One concrete manifestation of this is the publication of maps and inventories for particular countries or areas (*e.g.* Brasseur and le Moal 1963, Hansford *et al.* 1976, and for our area E.Hall 1983).

Cumulative research results can be seen in areal summaries like Lavergne de Tressan (1953) leading into the Handbook of African Languages - Westermann and Bryan (1961), and the Africa-wide rethinking in Greenberg (1966/70). A key development for our particular focus, the Gur languages, was the study of Swadesh *et al.* (1966). These findings are taken up in Current Trends VII (Berry and Greenberg 1971) by Bendor-Samuel (1971) for Gur, summarized by Fivaz and Scott (1977) and revised by Bennett and Sterk (1977). Meanwhile, for Gur, Manessy was publishing results of studies in Gur from the viewpoint of the francophone countries, notably (for our focus here) 1975, 1979; and Mukarovsky (1977, 1976) offered comparative material from his own independent lines of research. A new synthesis is presented in Bendor-Samuel (1989), containing Naden (1989) for Gur.

At the same time studies of individual languages continue to be made. In our area there is still a shortage of full-scale reference or academic grammars, but pedagogic grammars are made for practical purposes (*e.g.* Riccitelli 1955, Wilson 1972). Similar practical studies are also made in the lexical domain (*e.g.* Durand 1953, Melançon and Prost 1972), and there are also dictionaries published in connection with development of literacy and practical use of the languages (Blass 1975, Langdon and Breeze n/d) and as part of a research project (Spratt and Spratt n/d, Arana and Swadesh 1967, Kröger 1992). Particularly noteworthy is the scheme at Saarbrücken University where African scholars are enabled to pursue masters' level studies while contributing to a series of dictionaries of their languages (Lebizaka 1979, Badjona 1983, Derman 1983, Katia 1983).

Wordlists : Techniques

It has been a basic assumption above that much of the early sampling of languages was in the form of the collection of wordlists. In a brief visit to the area where a language is spoken, or a limited time with an informant, perhaps even with no common language of communication or working through an imperfectly-understood *lingua franca* or chain of interpreters, it is certainly easier to elicit names of common objects, or actions, than to get a reliable record of morphological paradigms or syntactic structures. While there are numerous limitations and pitfalls in wordlist-collection, this can still be a useful tool among many in the

toolbag of the student of these languages from the point of view of establishing names, distribution, usage and relationships.

Then there came Swadesh (1950) with his lexicostatistic theory which crucially based comparative study on a specific wordlist (Swadesh 1953) of 200 items, claimed to be universally applicable as a core of basic vocabulary not only resistant to innovation but also undergoing change at a fixed rate. The glottochronology corollary claimed that this would make it possible to go beyond the grouping of languages by closeness of genetic relationship to establish the length of real time since any pair of languages separated. We may seriously doubt the absolute percentages and centuries claimed by the proponents, but it seems unnecessary to deny that within a given family or subfamily the relative distances are usefully mapped by this method. Some attempts have been made to refine the approach with further statistical analyses, as in Bennett and Sterk (1977), though the results have been queried (Schadeberg 1986) - my own reservation concerns not so much the method as the basic data on which it operates - a set of complete and accurate wordlists would probably yield better results under both Swadesh and Bennett/Sterk analyses.

In practical terms the use of Swadesh lists has a few problems which can be minimised by careful usage. The claim that the 'Swadesh-200' list is universal, while making a wry point about everyone having lice (item #22) while not everyone has houses, still falls short of resolving a number of basic wordlist problems :-

- a) Unknown referent : in tropical Africa with no high mountains "ice", "snow", "freeze" (#148-150) are non-existent, so not lexicalized.
- b) Lexical distinction not made : "green" and "yellow" (#88,89) may not be lexicalized (low saturations are 'white' (#90), high 'black' (#91) and 'red' (#87) respectively - Berlin and Kay 1969 and the vast literature spawned thereby). "Wife" (#146) may be "woman" (#16), possessed.
- c) Wordclass : the English⁴ stimulus term has a particular wordclass; merely glossing with a target word of a different class is misleading - Mampruli **zibi** does not mean "dark" (#152) but "be dark". There is also wordclass ambiguity - "sleep" (#60), "fear" (#191) can be noun or a verb.
- d) Ambiguous word : the stimulus item may be patently ambiguous - "fly" may be an insect or the action of a bird, though at (#64) the neighbouring verbs dictate the latter interpretation. But is "burn" (#84) "the fire is burning", "burn the grass!", "I burned my finger", "I burned the dinner" ...?
- e) More distinctions in target : in some cases we may feel that the stimulus is unambiguous in the English semantic system, but more fine-grained distinctions are made in the target language/culture. Is the "louse" (#22) a fowl-louse, a dog-louse, or a human louse? To "wash" (#123) may be different if the object is oneself, a baby, or clothes.
- f) Grammatical frame : languages differ as to what form of the verb is best for citation: imperative, third person past, first person singular? And the best for exemplification may not be the best for elicitation. It may be useful to get several morphological 'principal parts' - in Niger-Congo with its historical noun-class system it is very desirable to have singular and plural forms of all nominals. Prepositions ("with, in, at" - #139-141) are both fuzzy in reference and need a grammatical frame to show their usage. Other items needing grammatical context are "if" (#142), pronouns (#1,2,3,102,103), deictics (#4,5,107,108), interrogatives (#6,7,104-106) and "not" (#8).

In most cases the solution is to make clear the exact sense and grammatical class of the item given. The items needing context should be elicited in a set context :

1. I will not⁵ sleep. **N ku gbisi.**

...

60. I will not sleep. **N ku gbisi.**

...

140 The stone is in the pot. **Kugri be la dukku ni.**

I would always advise adding to the standard list rather than revising it and removing difficult items. The value of the standardized list is that it is standard. If any sample is available for a little-known language it is likely to be a Swadesh 'first 100'. One can specify which sort of louse, either after collecting the term or in advance. Someone familiar with the sort of lexicalization patterns operative in a geographical or linguistic area can modify the list by specifying sense- discriminations, contextual frames, and additional locally-important vocabulary ("cow", "house", "Chief", "pot" and so on). The modified list can be used consistently by its compiler, at least, and if possible by colleagues, students, or as wide a circle of cooperating researchers as can be recruited.

Ways Forward : Thesaurus

Items on wordlists like those of Swadesh, or the larger 550-word list of Greenberg, are usually subgrouped by meaning: body parts, verbs of posture, colour terms, and so on. This has been noted above as sometimes helping to disambiguate the English word (if "fly" was next to "louse" it would be the insect; between "swim" and "walk" it is the verb). This may also help informants in what is already a strange and arbitrary task, and is an improvement on presentation in alphabetical order which has sometimes been advocated, as long as one is working with a single wordlist. When published dictionaries, non-standard wordlists, or several different standard lists are consulted, the virtues of alphabetical order for location become apparent - I had to spend some time compiling an alphabetical index of items in Swadesh and Greenberg lists, and data lists published by Mukarovsky (1977, 1976), Dakubu (1977, 1980) and Manessy (1975 and 1979). Computerized data processing cuts this knot, items can be grouped semantically so that the user can 'browse' among a set of related meanings, while a specific item can be located by the machine without the user being concerned with the method of search used.

As part of the researches of GILLBT in languages of Ghana, we have developed an etic thesaurus of English stimulus-words grouped according to general West African cultural patterns - items sold in the same section of a market, tools and action verbs used in discussing cereal farming, and so on. A numerical code makes it possible to search for semantically-related items, while the hard- copy version has a very full alphabetical index. Researchers are encouraged to use the etic list as a beginning, and then try to develop ways of reshaping the material in accordance with the emic judgements of speakers of each language. This is valuable for the study of individual languages, but it also feeds into the comparative enterprise, because one problem of wordlist- based comparison is semantic slippage - Language B may have a cognate of a given Language A word but the meaning has changed so that it will not be given in response to the same stimulus item. With semantic indices from the thesaurus system, a computer can locate possible cognates which have undergone this slippage, and inversely examples of slippage across related languages are additional evidence for co-membership of an emic semantic class.

Ways Forward : Comparative Dictionary

From the comparative-historical viewpoint, the ultimate aim of this research is the production of a dictionary of the Western Oti/Volta (W.O/V) languages. In one sense this is merely a superior version of the wordlist-comparisons like Swadesh *et al.* (1966), with a larger inventory of items, and based in many cases on ongoing research, even published dictionaries, in the individual languages. The sort of detailed sense-

discriminations, usage notes, exemplificatory citations, and so on, offered by the dictionary of a single language, or even a bilingual dictionary for a pair of languages, is not practicable for a group of some sixteen to twenty languages or named dialects. On the other hand, the thesaurus research does make it possible to group items in a more rigorous semantic network. The approach makes it possible to deal with a specific problem of wordlist work, the subcase of the target- language distinctions problem which is focussed on by Sankoff:

“In reality, although for some meanings it may be easy to find unique lexical representations, for others it may be difficult to choose between two, three or more words.”
(1970: 565)

Take, for example, ‘the word’ given in W.O/V wordlists for “river”. On the basis of data available when I started this work, one could distinguish three sub-groups of the languages, with “river” as something like *KUL-GA, *MOG-RI and *BUL-GA respectively. However, working at greater depth in one specific language I found that all three terms existed, **kuliga** (in Mampruli (MP)) being the place you go to for water - river, well or waterhole - **mɔ'ari** is a large body of water which does not dry up even in the dry season - big river, natural lake or artificial reservoir - and **buliga** is a well or spring where water seeps or springs or flows. Further research into other languages of the group showed that most, if not all, have all three words with comparable, if not identical, distinctions.

As a result I set about presenting more detailed discussions of problematic semantic fields, with the data available to me so far laid out in wordlists for individual glosses, and circulating these “Lexinotes” to researchers working on, or interested in, these languages. These who received the notes were (or are, the series is still being issued intermittently) requested to return corrections or additions to the data and interpretations given. Thus one of some twenty-five topics proposed is “Water”, including not only the three ‘river’ words above but also the word for “water” itself (which may, in fact, be a major shared-innovation defining the W.O/V group) and also referents like “pothole, puddle”, “swamp”, the verb “to flow”, and so on: other areas discussed are colour terms, or words for “sun” and “God” which are obviously related although the present-day cultures show no sign of sun-worship. As an example of these Lexinotes, I append the partially revised text of one of the earlier notes, on verbs of motion (Appendix A).

At present I envisage a publishable comparative dictionary to contain entries like the individual wordlists (“ARRIVE” *etc.*) in the Lexinote arranged according to semantic fields in an overall thesaurus- style framework. Where there is a problem in specifying W.O/V discriminations with English words, the field will be presented in a simplified Lexinote-style discussion. Detailed alphabetical indices in English and French, the two international languages of the area, will facilitate the location of a specific item: one can either look up a word of particular interest in itself, or look for something in the semantic area required, as an *entrée* to the whole field.

Conclusion

The use of wordlist collection as a sampling technique and a basis for comparing languages has a considerable history in West Africa and comparable areas of the world. While we may today be aware of various limitations and problems of the method it is not to be wholly discarded. With thoughtful use it can still yield useful data, and in addition it can feed into more sophisticated studies of genetic relationships and comparative lexicology.

NOTES

¹ My apologies to Clarence Barnhart who used the term 'Lexinote' before me. I graciously accept the (as yet un-offered) apologies of Reinhard Hartmann who started using the word after me. It is obviously a term whose time has come.

Detailed acknowledgements for data take up a whole page: blanket thanks to colleagues in GILLBT and University of Ghana, officers of Governmental and non-governmental agencies in the various locations, chiefs, elders and many individuals in the language communities. Also to Clara Rosingh for keyboarding assistance.

² One proposed etymology of "barbarian".

³ The only important exception known to me is that of the Mesopotamian cultures which developed some wordlists comparing Sumerian, Akkadian and Western Semitic (*e.g.* Ugaritic) languages. Further details on various linguistic traditions are now appearing in Lepschy's 'Storia' (Lepschy (Ed.) 1990).

⁴ "English" stands here for whatever language is used to present the stimulus item - English, French, Portuguese, Afrikaans, Arabic, Swahili, Hausa or a local lingua franca.

⁵ In Mampruli the future negative is the most easily elicited unmarked verb form of a verb.

REFERENCES

* marks references in Appendix A

- Arana, Evangelina and Mauricio Swadesh** 1967. Diccionario analitico del mampruli. Mexico D.F.: Museo de las Culturas
- Badjona, M.** 1983 Nawdem-Deutsch Wörterbuch (Dialekt von Siou) Saarbrücken: Universitäts des Saarlandes
- Bendor-Samuel, John T.** 1971 Niger-Congo, Gur. Berry, Jack and Joseph H.Greenberg (Eds.) 1971: 141-178
- Bendor-Samuel, John T.** 1989 The Niger-Congo Languages. Lanham, MD: University Press of America
- Bennett, Patrick R. and Jan P. Sterk** 1977 South Central Niger-Congo : a reclassification. Studies in African Linguistics 8(3): 241-273
- Berlin, Brent and Paul Kay** 1969 Basic Color Terms: their Universality and Evolution. Berkeley: University of California Press
- Berry, Jack and Joseph H.Greenberg** (Eds.) 1971 Linguistics in Sub-Saharan Africa. The Hague / Paris: Mouton (Current Trends in Linguistics VII)
- Binger, L.G.(Capt.)** 1892 Du Niger au Golfe de Guinée (Vols I, II). Paris: Hachette
- Blass, Regina** [ed.] 1975 Sisaala-English / English-Sisaala Dictionary. Tamale, N.R.: Ghana Institute of Linguistics [now GILLBT]
- Busane, Masidake** 1990. Lexicography in Central Africa : the user perspective. Hartmann, (Ed.). 1990 : 19-35
- Brasseur, Georges and Guy le Moal** 1963 Cartes ethnodémographiques de l'Afrique occidentale, feuilles 3/4 nord. Dakar: IFAN
- Christaller, J.G.** 1875 A Grammar of the Asante and Fante Language Called Tshi (Chwee, Twi). Basel: Basel Evangelical Missionary Society
- Christaller, J.G.** 1889 Sprachproben aus dem Sudan von 40 bis 60 Sprachen und Mundarten hinter der Gold- und Sklavenküste. Z.A.S. 3: 133-154

- Dakubu, Mary Esther Kropp (Ed.). 1977 West African Language Data Sheets I. n/p: W.A.L.S.
- Dakubu, Mary Esther Kropp (Ed.). 1980 West African Language Data Sheets II. Leiden: WALIS / African Studies Center
- Delafosse, Maurice** 1904 Vocabulaires comparatifs de plus de 60 langues et dialectes parlés à la Côte d'Ivoire et dans les régions limitrophes. Paris: Leroux
- Delord, J.** 1968 Le kaure de la *Polyglotta Africana* et le kabré d'aujourd'hui. African Language Review 7: 114-139
- Derman, Tankar** 1983 Dictionnaire tem-français-allemand / Tem-Französisch-Deutsch Wörterbuch. Saarbrücken: Univ. des Saarlandes
- Durand, J.B. (Fr.)** 1953 Dagaari-English Dictionary. Jirapa: Catholic Mission
- Fivaz, Derek and Patricia F.Scott** 1977 African languages : a genetic and decimalized classification for bibliographies and general reference. Boston, Mass.: G.K.Hall
- Fortes, Meyer** 1949 The Web of Kinship among the Tallensi. London: OUP
- Goldsmith, John** 1992 A note on the genealogy of research traditions in modern phonology. Journal of Linguistics 28(1): 149-163
- Greenberg, Joseph H.** 1966/70 The Languages of Africa. Bloomington Indiana: Indiana Univ. [IJAL 29(1) II] / *ditto*/The Hague: Mouton
- Groh, B.** 1911 Sprachproben aus zwölf Sprachen des Togo-Hinterlands. MSOS 14: 227-239
- Hair, P.E.H.** 1965. Temne and African language classification before 1864. Journal of African Languages 4: 46-56
- Hair, P.E.H.** 1966. Collections of vocabularies of Western Africa before the Polyglotta. Journal of African Languages 5: 208-217
- Hair, P.E.H.** 1968. An ethnolinguistic inventory of the Lower Guinea Coast before 1700. African Language Review 7: 47-73
- Hall, Edward** 1983 Ghanaian language : with fold-out map. Accra: Asempa
- ***Hall, John F.** n/d (1948) Dictionary and practical notes, Mossi-English languages. Ouagadougou: Mission des Assemblées de Dieu
- Hansford, Keir, John T.Bendor-Samuel and Ron Stanford** 1976 Index of Nigerian Languages. Ghana: S.I.L.

- Hartmann, R.R.K** (Ed.). 1990. *Lexicography in Africa*. Exeter : University of Exeter Press [Exeter Linguistic Studies #15]
- Huttar, George and Kenneth Gregerson** (Eds.) 1986 *Pragmatics in Non-Western Perspective*. Dallas: S.I.L./Univ. of Texas at Arlington
- Katia Camara, C.** 1983 *Tagbana-Deutsch Wörterbuch*. Saarbrücken: Universität des Saarlandes
- Köhler, Oswin** 1964 Gur Languages in the Polyglotta Africana. *African Language Review* 3: 65-73
- Koelle, Sigismund W.** 1854 *Polyglotta Africana*. London: CMS
- Kröger, Franz** 1992 *Buli-English Dictionary*. Münster/Hamburg: Lit Verlag
- Langdon, Margaret A. and Mary J.Breeze** n/d (1981) *Konkomba-English / Likaln-Likpakpaln Dictionary*. Tamale, N.R.: GIL
- Lavergne de Tressan, M. de** 1953 *Inventaire linguistique de l'Afrique Occidentale Française, et du Togo*. Dakar: MIFAN N°30
- Lebizaka, Koyenzi Kézié** 1979 *Kabiye-Deutsch Wörterbuch*. Saabrücken: Univ. des Saarlandes
- Lepschy, G.** (Ed.). 1990 *Storia della Linguistica*. Bologna: il Mulino [Vol.I, further volumes to follow]
- Manessy, Gabriel** 1975 *Les langues Oti/Volta*. Paris: SELAF
- ***Manessy, Gabriel** 1979 *Contribution à la classification généalogique des langues voltaïques : - le proto-central*. Paris: SELAF
- Melançon, Lucien and André Prost (R.PP.)** 1972 *Dictionnaire buli-français*. Dakar: Univ. de Dakar
- Mukarovsky, Hans** 1976 *A study of Western Nigritic II*. Wien : Institut für Ägyptologie und Afrikanistik der Univ. Wien
- Mukarovsky, Hans** 1977 *A Study of Western Nigritic I*. Wien : Institut für Ägyptologie und Afrikanistik der Univ. Wien
- Meinhof, Carl** 1906 *Grundzüge einer vergleichenden Grammatik der Bantusprachen..* Berlin: D.Reimer
- Naden, Tony** 1986.a *Première note sur le kɔnni*. JWAL XVI(2): 76-112
- Naden, Tony** 1986.b *Social context and Mampruli greetings*. Huttar and Gregerson (Eds.) 1986 : 161-199

- Naden, Tony** 1988 Language, history and legend in Northern Ghana. *Sprache und Geschichte in Afrika* 9: 205-246
- Naden, Tony** 1989 Gur. J.T.Bendor-Samuel (Ed.). 1989: 141-168
- Prost, André (R.P.)** 1966 La langue gurma dans le *Polyglotta Africana*. *African Language Review* 5: 134-138
- Prost, André (R.P.)** 1975 Vocabulaires comparés des langues de l'Atakora. *BIFAN* 37, B (2) : 412-448
- ***Rattray, R.S. (Capt.)** 1932 Tribes of the Ashanti Hinterland. (2 Vols) Oxford: O.U.P.
- Riccitelli, J.M.R.** 1955 Pedagogic grammar - Red Bobo, Ouarkoye dialect. n/p
- Sankoff, David** 1970 On the rate of replacement of word-meaning relationships. *Lg.* 46(3): 564-
- Schadeberg, Thilo C.** 1986 The lexicostatistic base of Bennett & Sterk's reclassification of Niger-Congo with particular reference to the cohesion of Bantu. *SAL* 17(1): 67-83
- Spratt, David and Nancy Spratt** n/d (1975?) A Kusaal-English Dictionary. Tamale : I.L. (now GILLBT)
- Swadesh, Morris** 1950 Salish internal relationships.. *IJAL* 16: 157-167
- Swadesh, Morris** 1953 The languages of the Archaeologic Huastecs. Carnegie Institution of Washington, Dept. of Archaeology, Notes on Middle American Archaeology and Ethnology 114: 223-227
- Swadesh, Mauricio, Evangelina Arana, John T.Bendor-Samuel and W.A.A.Wilson** 1966 A Preliminary Glottochronology of Gur Languages. *Journal of West African Languages* III(1): 27-65
- Westermann, Diederich** | r 1935 Nominalklassen in Westafrikanischen Klassensprachen und in Bantusprachen. *MSOS* 38(3): 1-53
- Westermann, Diederich and Margaret A.Bryan** 1961 Languages of West Africa. London: OUP for I.A.I. [Handbook of African Languages]
- ***Wilson, W.A.A.** 1972 Dagbani, an Introductory Course. (2 Vols.) Tamale,N.R.: I.L. (now GILLBT)

APPENDIX A

Western Oti/Volta Lexinote No.3

Coming and Going

In English idiom, complete confusion is in the state of one who ‘doesn’t know whether he is coming or going’. We might well imagine that a similar fate might overtake one who held the Rattray (1932) theory that the languages of Northern Ghana, or at least ‘Mole-Dagbani’, are interchangeable dialects of a single language.

1. A quick glance at survey-type samples of vocabulary from the major or more-accessible languages of the group might suggest to the person envisaged above the simple generalization that all the languages have a verb which one might summarize as *KE(N), where the first consonant ranges from [k] via [ky] and [tʃ] to [tʰ], and the vowel from [ə] through [ɛ] to [ɪ], and which means “go, walk” and, with the addition of a final **na**, “come”. Thus we have **ka** in DB¹ (**kana** “came”) **ken** in KLA, **kyenni** MP (“walking”, **kyena** “came”), **cen** in NR/BR(J), **tin** in KLT, and **ta** in HG.

The above is fine until you ask, e.g. in MP, for :- “where are you going?” - “I am going to market.” and get :-

Ya ni ka i wa? - **N wa la daa.**
(where to that you going - I going (assert.) market)

(alongside **Kyema!** - “Go!” and **Kyem na!** - “Come!”) - It transpires that in a number of these languages “going” in the present (‘continuous’ or ‘progressive’) must be realized by a different root from that used in the past, future, imperative and infinitive (in some other O/V languages, for instance Kokomba and Nawdm, the same occurs with “come”, thus giving four distinct roots “go”, “be going”, “come”, “be coming”). The basic verb means both “go” and “walk” but in the present it is unambiguously “walking” - cf. MP **U kyenni ni**. “He is walking.” (usually heard in reference to a child’s stage of development - *i.e.* habitual imperfective rather than progressive), while perfective **U kyənŋiya**. can mean “He went.” or “He walked.” (to emphasise the latter, add “(his) feet.” - Lexinote #12 forthc.).

Then after some years in Mampruli I heard **N ti la ---**. for “I went to --.” and **I ti la ya ni?** “Where did you go/are you going?”, showing that in at least one language the *TI root is used alongside the *KEN, proving them to be distinct. Several languages also have **ti** and/or **ta** as a particle or auxiliary indicating purpose (/intention/achievement) and/or change of scene between verbs in a series (see Wilson 1972 II, Ch. 50).

Further research in the group throws up at least three other roots *TA, *GA and *WE ; this last one would expect to be the origin of both the MP **wa** above and the FR **ye'** by the semivowel-harmonizing tendency noted in Lexinote #3, (“sun” *WEN -> **wun, yen**), but FR has both **ye'** “going” and **wa'(an(na))** for “come” so for the moment we will keep **wV** and **yV** separate. These various roots mix ‘n’ match in a complex way in the various languages; the vocabulary in Table 1 attempts to set this out in two dimensions.

<< Table 1 about here >>

2. There are also a number of verbs of motion with more specific content - “go in”, &c. - which I usually gloss with a single word (“enter”, “exit”) where possible to avoid congestion in the “go” section of the dictionary. We may define these verbs of motion as those which take the complement of destination (or, for “exit”, of origin - &c.) without an intervening “go” verb, in contrast with verbs of manner of locomotion such as “run” - you can say “entered (into) the room” but not *”ran to the room” which would have to be “ran, went to the room”: this distinction is clearly set out in Wilson, *op.cit.*, ch. 21. The “hither” particle of the “come” verb (not in HG or the North-western group) can also be used with these words to contrast “come in” with “go in” (within “enter”), and so on. This is obligatory if the motion is towards the speaker, so that if you are speaking of someone falling from a tree, for instance, you have to say “He fell hither.” - *i.e.* coming to the ground where the speaker is located, In fact the verb need not be one of motion: - one can speak of “buying something hither” meaning to go somewhere and buy it and bring it here. A common way of saying “Bring it!” or “Hand it over!” is (as is just possible in English) ‘Have it here!’ - in MP **Mari ka na!**, FR **Tare ka na!**.

As in many languages the axis by which the ‘hither’ is determined is the speaker’s present location, or his location at the time (past or future) of the motion concerned, or his normal or expected location; the scope of ‘location’ depends on the distance of travel - someone from outside the village “comes” to Gbeduuri (any part thereof), while someone from the village only “comes” to my house (or where I am, or was, or will be...). If anything, the use of **na** is even broader than Eng. “come”; in a narrative it is often used if the next event takes place where the motion arrived at. These topics may be followed up in : Fillmore, 1966 (Fol 2, 219); Kuiper/Merrifield, 1975 (IJAL 47, 32); Speck/Pickett 1977 (IJAL 49, 58); Pickett, 1976 (IJAL 48, 162); Casad/Groth, 1977 (Anth.L. 19.5); Foris, 1978 (A.L. 20.8); Hoopert/Warkentin, 1977 (NoLing 4, 11)

A further dimension is added by the possibility of making a causative derivative : “go in” → “put in” : in MP, at least, this is almost certainly a productive process, suffix **-si**. The same is found sporadically (possibly universally, the sporadic nature belonging to our sources of data) in most of these languages - forms marked * in the lists below.

NOTE :-

In these languages there is a variation s/h with various conditions. In DB **h** is obligatory following a short vowel, in MP before a consonant; in FR and its neighbours ***s** before a front vowel is **h**. In most of the languages any non-initial **s** can come out as **h** in fast or informal speech. It should also be noted that **h** often nasalizes adjacent vowels - the effect is particular clear in DB & FR.

“ASCEND”^{1,2} :

DB **du, duhi *** ; HG **du/duura** ; MP **du/duuri, duusi *** ; KLA **du/dut** ; KLT **ḍḍḍ** ; NB(R) **ḍḍ** ;
 TL(R) **ḍḍ**, (A) **du**; FR^A **doe/ḍḍora** ; MR^A(R) **du**, (H) **dou** ; DR^A **du, do/duoro** ;
 LB(R)^A **ḍḍ** ; WL **do/duoro, duoho *** ;

FR^B **zom/zona** ; MR^B(R) **zom** ; DR^B(R) **zḍm** ; LB(R)^B **zḍm** ;

BL(P) **gyeule**, (R) **dyur**, (Kröger) **jue** ; KM **juali**

(in the Northwestern group the *ZOM items are probably the preferred equivalent for “ascend”)

“DESCEND”

DB **sigi, sigsi** * ; HG **sigi/sigira** ; MP **sigi/sigri, sigsi*** ; KR -/ **sigsiri/sigsiya** * ; KL **sig, sie** * , **sigis*** ; NB(R) **sige** ; TL(F) **sig, (R) sige, (A) sik** ; FR **sige/sigire, sigera, sigehe, sirehe sigi, siki*** ; DR **sigi** ; LB **sige** ; WL **sigi, sigihi*** ; BL (R) **sig, (P) siji** ; KM **suŋ**

“ENTER”

DB **kpe, kpehi** * ; HG **kpe/kpeera** ; MP **kpe/kpeeri, kpeesi** * ; KLA **kpen', kpen'es** * ; TL/NB(R) **kpen** ; TL (A,F) **kpe** ; FR **ken'/ken'enra, ken'enhe** * ; NK(R) **kye** ; LB **kpe** ; MR **kē, kēēs** * , (R) **kye, (H) ke, kese** * ; DR **kye(n), kpe(n)** ; NT **kwen/kwiyento/kwenyo** ; WL **kpe/kpiera** ;

BL(R) **dyo, (P) gyo** ; KM **dzu** ;

“EXIT”

DB **yi, yihi** * ; HG **yi/yiira** ; KR **yi** ; MP **yi/yiiri, yiisi** * (“take out, choose”); KL **yi, yiis** * ; NB(R) **yim** (?imperat.?) ; TL **yi** ; FR **ye, yehe/yehera, -/yehere** * ; MR **yi(i)/yit(a), yiimi, (R) yi, (H) woude, yi, yiis** * ; NT **yi/yito/yiro** ; DR **yi/yie** ; LB(R) **yi** ; HG **nyisi** (“choose”); BL **nyin** ; KM **nyiŋ/nyimma!/nyinna** ;

3. All the languages have a verb for “to go home”. This is common, amongst other usages, as a farewell spoken by a parting guest: - ‘I have gone home.’ (proleptic perfective - “I am just about to go home.”). It, and/or its causative, may be used for “to marry”, with the woman as subject (the man often ‘eats’ his wife) and/or “give in marriage”.

“GO HOME”

DB **kuli, kulsi** * ; HG **kuli/kunna, kulisi**(“marry”) ; KR **kuli** ; MP **kuli/kunni/kulima!**, **kulsi**(* and “marry”) ; KL **kul** ; TL **kuli, kulh** *³ ; FR **kule/kula** ; NK(N) **kuli** ; MR **kouli, kwili, kuili, kuilis** * (also “marry”); DR **kule** ; KM **kuli/kulimi/kuliya** ; BL **kuli** ;

4. The separate lexeme glossed “return” then means to go back somewhere other than home (or in the collocation ‘return, go-home’ - “to go back home”), or to go backwards (specifically ‘return his (&c.) back’), or to do (something) again. The causative, besides “take/send back”, often also means “to answer” a question.

“RETURN”

DB **lebi, labi: labsi *** ; KR **labsi *** (“vomit”) ; KLA **leb, lebis***(also “answer”); MP **labi/labri/labma!/lappu, labsi** (also “answer”); MR **lebe,lebse *** ; FR **lebe/læbera,lebere/, lebehe *** (also “answer”, “divorce (man subject)”); DR **læε** ; WL **leu,leuhi ***;

KM^A **keeri** ; BL **keem, ηmane** ;

KM^B **yini**

HG **pori/potira**

5. We have noted above that some verbs, ‘means of locomotion’ like “run”, “jump”, need one of the ‘verbs of motion’ discussed in this note to indicate the goal or origin of motion (“jumped in” = ‘jumped, entered’ ; “ran to town” = ‘ran, went town’). Even the verbs of motion, however, do not assert the attainment of the goal: - there is yet another lexeme which signifies arrival, and to show that the runner actually reached town one may need to say ‘ran, went, reached town’. This verb, glossed “reach, arrive” is in most of these languages something like the MP **paai** (MR and DR alone, not here supported by FR or KL, have a reflex of the *TA which Manessy (1979) sets up as a ‘common central Voltaic’ proto-form - V.C.1 : see also the *TA forms in the Table 1). This is the ‘applicative’ derivational form⁴ from *PA, with the ‘weak g’ which is usually lost in the vowel, but may surface - especially in DB (Wilson, *op.cit.*, 6.2) - before a vowel-initial pronoun (DB **paag'o** “reach him” from **paai**). MP has the base verb **pa** - “to be immediately on top, to be an immediate successor (e.g. specifically come second in a race, or be the ‘next-born’ in a family)”, derivative **paai** which not only means “to arrive at the goal of motion” but also “to arrive” of a particular time and “to reach, be enough” in quantity, and further the causative **paasi** both for the first sense of **paai** - farewell greeting **Naawunni paasi ya.!** - “God will cause you to arrive (*sc.* safely)! “ - and in the last sense - “cause to reach/be sufficient”, this being the normal term for “add”; this **m-paasi** (serial infinitive ‘to add’) is often found after other verbs in a series with the quasi-adverbial meaning of “additionally”. Whether **paali** “fill” and **paam** “plait” are also derived from the same base is less decidable.

“REACH, arrive”

DB **paai (paag')/paama/paabu, pahi *** ; HG **paa (paag')** ; KR **paa** ; MP **paai (paag'**, but rare)/**paari**⁵/**paama, paasi *** ; KLT **paa** ; KLA **paae/paad, paas *** ; NB(R) **pa** ; TL **paa, pa'a(N), paa(F,R)**; FR **pae/paare** ; [WL **pa(g-)** “meet”]; BL **pae(R)/paare/paaya(P)**]

MR **ta, tall, tase *** ; DR **ta** ; LB(R) **ta** ;

KM **tugi**

6. “To cross” is less commonly represented in the sources, and the vocabulary below may represent several distinct lexemes:- the *YAK items, for instance, may be specifically to cross over the top of (*cf.* the common

MP collocation **yikki n-yakki** - “jump/fly over”), while *LO(N) may perhaps be “pass through” (? cf. MP **lɔŋŋu** “throat” which is also “interior cavity”).

CROSS

DB **yagi** ; MP^A **yakki** ; FR^A **yake/yakere** ; (HG^A **yagi** “come of”)

HG^B **loo** ; MP^B **looi, lɔkki, lɔŋŋi** ; KL **lo'on** ; DB **looi** ; MR^A **loog** (“go past”);

FR^B **doe** (cf. “ascent”) ; MR^B **doage** ; DB **duui(duli *)** ;

MR^C **piouge ,piuug** ; MR **budga** ;

HG^C **pulli**

BL **tam**

7. Most of the languages have a verb, or perhaps two verbs, “to surround” and “go round”. “Surround” may be positional (“we are surrounded by the enemy”) or motional (“send a task force to surround that town”), while “go round” may imply a circumnavigation (“he went right round the house and come back to us at the gateway”) or a detour (“there was a puddle on the path so I went round it”). The sources are not usually clear enough to attribute these various senses to the respective items. In MP **n-gyili** is “to go round” (including “to wander around aimlessly” - see 9 below) and **n-gyiligi** “to surround” (**Na Gyeringa** built a wall **n-gyiligi Nalerigu** “around Nalerigu (town)”).

ROUND (go -, be -)

DB,WL **gili** ; MP **gyili/gyinni, gyilgi** ; HG **jili, giligi** ; KL **gilig** ; FR^A **gilige/giligera** ;
MR^A **gilige** ; DR **gɔl** ;

BL **kia, kiage** ; MR^B **kae/kaara** ;

MR^C **saaga, gubig, gubga** (+adv. **gilli**)

Wordlists more often have adjective or adverb “round” which is *GIL (/GBIL/KPIL/KIL...) in DR,BL,HG,FR,KL,KR,KM,MP,MR,NB,SF,TL.

8. Our next verb is “to pass”, which may mean to go past a fixed spot, or to overtake someone travelling on the same route as oneself. It is also used, in MP at least, for “Come/Go on!” - urging a child or companion not to dawdle, or someone not to hang about but to continue on their journey. This verb also (? from the “overtake” sense ?) means “to surpass” and is used in comparisons; the most obvious form is where it follows a descriptive verb :-

U veela n-gaari i. “S/he is prettier than you.”
(*he/she is.pretty to-pass thee*)

PASS

DB **gari/gatti/garima!** ; HG^A **gali/gani, gaari** (“surpass”) ; KR **gaari** ; MP **gaari/gaatti/gaarima!** ; KL **gaad/gat, gaas** ; NB **gaare** ; TL(R) **gari, (A) gaad, (F) gaat** ; FR^A **gaŋ/gane** ; DR^A **gaŋ** ; WL **ga** ; BL^A (R) **gan, (P) gam** (“surpass” only, *cf.* BL^B below);

FR^B **tole/tola** ; DR **tog** ;

BL^B (R) **ta, (P) tam** ; KM **tiaŋ**;

MR **yida** (see also “CROSS”) ; HG^B **saa**

NOTE: The majority of forms are derived by various thematic consonants (l, n, r, – all frequent in this function) from a base *GA which we may reasonably identify with the item in Table 1. Do BL, ?KM, ??FR,DR derive similarly from *TA therein? The KR forms in the table imply **gaar-** for “go” there.

9. These languages also have a verb meaning “to travel, journey, roam, wander”. As this gloss suggests, this can be used for just “going for a walk” (“I don’t have any business, I’m just roaming.” - *cf.* on MP “go round”, 7. above). It can also mean to take a specific journey; the MP welcome is **Ni i goorim !** - with your travelling’ (Naden 1986.b); and the verb can also indicate travelling in general, the same MP greeting being appropriate to greet someone passing (*e.g.* on a bicycle) whose start and end points and destination are unknown, and a welcome may include a greeting for the **goorim-dima** - the people you met on your travels.

TRAVEL

DB **gə/gəri/gəya, (n.) gərim** ; HG **go/goora** ; KR **go** ; MP **gə/goori/gəya, (n.) goorim** ; KLA^A **guann/goon** ; TL **goma** (F, ? impat.?) ; MR^A **go,gō(od)** ;

KLA^B **eed** ; FR **eere/ɛera** ; MR^B **yeebe** ;

KM (n.) **diisi** ;

BL (G,P) **nyeem,(N.,D) cərim** ;

10. In section 1., on basic “go, come”, we did not discuss causative derivatives. In fact morphological derivatives of these bases do not seem to be found except for the link with “pass” (note to vocabulary in 8. above, *GA,TA) and with “send” (below “SEND” iii, *TA). There are separate lexemes for “send”; in fact local English has a number of different ‘send’s :-

a) Two of these are “to send, or ‘message’“, the contrast between the two being that although in both cases the Agent, the sender, entrusts an errand or message to the person sent, in one case he is “sending” someone

who otherwise would have no reason to go, while in the other he entrusts his errand to someone who is going anyway on his own business:

i) “SEND (on an errand)”

DB **tim** ; HG **tumi/tumina** ; KL **tum** ; BL **tom** ; MP **tum/tumni/tumma!/tumpu** ;
FR **tom/tone** ; MR **toum,tum** ⁶

ii) “SEND (message with sb.)”

HG **tolimi** ; MP **tolim** ; MR **tole** ; KLA **tolisi**

b) In local Eng. “send” is also used for the situation where the Agent actually transports or travels with the person ‘sent’ :- “I had to go to Nalerigu in my lorry to send the Chief to Hospital.” The only sure examples I have of this are derivatives of the *TA base of “go”.

iii) “‘SEND’ (take sb. to..)”

DB **tahi** ; HG/MP **taasi** ; TL **ta'ah** ; MR **tall** ; (? BL **ta**)

c) There are two further verbs involving two people travelling together. One is usually translated “follow”, but basically means rather ‘to accompany in a subordinate or secondary position’ - thus we have the local English “If you are going to Tamale in your lorry, may I follow you?” meaning “Will you give me a lift?” not “May I drive along behind?”. This is therefore rather “be a follower of”; to get the usual meaning of the Eng. “follow sb.” you have to say ‘follow sb.’s back’. There are also collocations of “follow” with a path or placename in the sense of “go *via* ...”. The other sort of ‘accompanying’ implies that the ‘follower’ is the more important - “lead” is sometimes used in local Eng. - and it is particularly used in “Take me to your leader! “ -type situations : - the subject of the verb “escorts” someone to show him the way, introduce him to the person to be visited. or just to provide a guard of honour to add dignity to his progress. Untypically KLA can use this item for a superior or focal character ‘following’, *i.e.* bringing along with him/her, a less important person.

i) “ACCOMPANY, ‘follow’”

DB **doli/dɔla/dɔla!** ; MP **dɔli/dɔla/dɔla!** ; HG^A **doli**; KLA **dol/dol, dolne/dolim!** ; KLT
dul ; TL^A (F) **dɔli** ; FR^A **dole/dɔla** ;

TL^B **duh** (vocab. 3, note); FR^B **dooh** ;

MR^A **toun,tūn, tuu,tule**; MR^B **pogele,pugla** ;

DR **beere** (*cf./ct. ii* below) ;

BL **saje** ; KM^A **suṅun**/ ; KM^{B2} /**dii/diiya**;

HG^B **pa...zu** (normal way of expressing this sense; *cf.* “REACH” (**zu** is “head, on”))

ii) “ESCORT, ‘lead’”

DB **bieli** ; HG **beeli/beena** ; KLA^A **biel** ; MP **beeli/ beenni/ beelima!** ; FR **beele** ; DR **biel**,
beâle ; TL^A **beeh** ; BL **bane** ;

MP^B **kô'ali** (“give a lift on bike, horse”); TL^B (F) **kogal** ;

KLA^B **pi'e** ; MR **nyage** ; KM **suṅun** (i) above, *q.v.*)

11. Our final verb of motion is “to be near” which itself or in a derivative may also be “to get near, approach”. In MP there is a syntactic distinction between the predication types, the process (“come near”) has the possibility of occurring in the imperfective with the verb-assertive particle **ni** - **U potti ni**. - “He is approaching.” - or in the absolutive (verb is final in the clause) perfect with **ya** - **U pɔriya**. - “He has approached.” (these are the normal active verb frames) ; the stative frame appropriate to “be near” allows the verb stem followed by both the perfective and the assertive markers (this is impossible for action verbs) – **U pɔriya ni**. - “He is near.”

“NEAR (be-, get-)” (* is “get near, approach”)

DB(R) **miri**, (Okroku Dict.) **mire**, (N) **muri**, (O) **miri/mirti**; MP^A **miri/mitti** (rare);

MP^B **pɔri/potti/pɔrima!** (* also); KLA^A **ponri** ; NB(R) **pore** ; TL(N) **pɔri**, (F,A) **pɔriga** (?*);
FR^A **pɔnrɛ** ; NK(R)^A **pɔre** ; MR^A **peya** ; SF **peel** ; WL^A **pieli** ;

NK(R)^B/MR^B **kolege** ; KLA^B **kpi'e/kpi'ene** ; DR ***kpiele** ; BL ***kpaŋe** ;

HG **leeli/leelina** ; KLA^C **li'el/li'elne or li'en** ; FR^B **lɛm**

BL^A **gela** ; KM **gbəgi** ;

BL^B **mɔɔta** (caus. **mɔɔtte** “bring sth. near”) ;

WL^B **tɔhi**

The inverse “be far” does not, to my knowledge, have a “go far, s’éloigner” sense in any of these languages⁷. “Be far” is usually a descriptive verb “be long, tall, far”, basic form *WOG, with various adjectival and adverbial derivatives.

NOTES to Appendix A :

¹ The Western (and other) Oti/Volta languages are referred to here by the standard two-letter codes (Swadesh *et al.* 1966, Naden 1989) :

DB – Dagbani	FR - Frafra
HG – Hanga	GN - Gurenne (J) ²
KR – KaMara	MR - Moore
MP – Mampruli	NT - Nootre
KL – Kusaal	LB - ‘Lobiri’ (R) ²
KLA - “Agole” (East)	DG - Dagara (B. Faso)
KLT - “Toonde” (West)	DR - Dagaari
TL – Talni	NR - Nura
NB – Nabit	BR - Birifor
SF – Safalaba	WL - Wali
BL - Buli (O/V Buli)	KM - Kɔnni (O/V Buli)

² Other abbreviations:

/ - separates paradigm co-forms
 singular/plural (Noun),
 neutral/imperfective(/imperative/verbal noun) (Verb)

^A, ^B - (partial) synonyms or different sources for the same language

(A) - written data from Abraham Manga (TL)

(F) - data from J.Hall (1948) (MR)

(H) - data from Fortes (1949 and other writings) (TL)

(J) - data from JWAL article - Swadesh *et al.* 1966

(K) - data from J.Kennedy (DR)

(N) - data from Tony Naden field research

(O) - orthographic form from published material

(P) - data from Prost (various publications, N.B. Melançon and Prost 1972 (BL))

(R) - data from Rattray (1932)

³ TL distinguishes reflexes of *-SI and *-FU as a final -h with spread and rounded lips respectively

⁴ cf. MP **te** “spread (self)” - **teaai** “straighten, stretch out sth.” **ze** “blow (wind)” - **zeaai** “blow something (away)”. This structural type of derivative may also be inversive in sense:- **ye** “put on (shirt,&c.)” - **yeaai** “take off (..)” **yɔ** “open” - **yoaai** “close”, and singulative :- **gba** “catch” - **gbaai** “catch (one only)”

⁵ Far-west dialect imperfective **paagri**.

⁶ TL(F) **tumh** (* causative, see NOTE in 2. above) “follow through kinship links”

⁷ MR does have **zāra** “être loin” vs. **zāage** “éloigner” (*move* something to a distance); cf. FR **zaam** “be deep”